Note: Today's Exercise

In the "Kinohoersaal", Mensa building

Introduction to Mobile Robotics

Techniques for 3D Mapping

Wolfram Burgard, Cyrill Stachniss, Maren Bennewitz, Kai Arras



Point Clouds

- Pro:
 - No discretization of data
 - Mapped area not limited
- Contra:
 - Unbounded memory usage
 - No direct representation of free or unknown space



3D Voxel Grids

Pro:

- Volumetric representation
- Constant access time
- Probabilistic update



- Contra:
 - Memory requirement: Complete map is allocated in memory
 - Extent of the map has to be known/guessed

2.5D Maps: "Height Maps"

Average over all scan points that fall into a cell

- Pro:
 - Memory efficient
 - Constant time access



- Contra:
 - Non-probabilistic
 - No distinction between free and unknown space

Elevation Maps

- 2D grid that stores an estimated height (elevation) for each cell
- Note: Uncertainty increases with measured distance



Elevation Maps

- 2D grid that stores an estimated height (elevation) for each cell
- Note: Uncertainty increases with measured distance
- Kalman update to estimate the elevation



Elevation Maps

- Pro:
 - 2.5D representation (vs. full 3D grid)
 - Constant time access
 - Probabilistic estimate about the height
- Contra:
 - No vertical objects
 - Only one level is represented

Typical Elevation Map





Extended Elevation Maps

- Identify
 - Cells that correspond to vertical structures
 - Cells that contain gaps
- Check whether the variance of the height of all data points is large for a cell
- If so, check whether the corresponding point set contains a gap exceeding the height of the robot ("gap cell")

Example: Extended Elevation Map



- Cells with vertical objects (red)
- Data points above a big vertical gap (blue)
- Cells seen from above (yellow)
- → use gap cells to determine traversability



extended elevation map

Types of Terrain Maps



Standard elevation map

Point cloud

Extended elevation map

Types of Terrain Maps





Point cloud

Standard elevation map



Extended elevation map

- Planning with underpasses possible (cells with vertical gaps)
- No paths passing under and crossing over bridges possible (only one level per grid cell)

Types of Terrain Maps



Standard elevation map

Point cloud



Extended elevation map



MLS Map Representation



Each 2D cell stores various patches consisting of:

- The height mean $\boldsymbol{\mu}$
- $\hfill \label{eq:stars}$ The height variance σ
- The depth value d

Note:

- A patch can have no depth (flat objects, e.g., floor)
- A cell can have one or many patches (vertical gap cells, e.g., bridges)

From Point Clouds to MLS Maps

- Determine the cell for each 3D point
- Compute height intervals
- Classify into vertical (>10cm) and horizontal intervals



- Apply Kalman update to estimate the height based on all data points for the horizontal intervals
- Take the mean and variance of the highest measurement for the vertical intervals



- Cell resolution: 10 cm
- Number of data points: 45,000,000

Experiments with a Car

 Task: Reach a parking spot on the upper level



MLS Map of the Parking Garage



MLS Maps

- Pro:
 - Can represent multiple surfaces per cell
- Contra:
 - No representation of unknown
 - No volumetric representation but a discretization in the vertical dimension
 - Localization in MLS maps is not straightforward

Octree-based Representation

- Tree-based data structure
- Recursive subdivision of the space into octants
- Volumes allocated as needed
- "Smart 3D grid"





Octrees

Pro:

- Full 3D model
- Probabilistic
- Inherently multi-resolution
- Memory efficient
- Contra:
 - Implementation can be tricky (memory, update, map files, ...)



OctoMap Framework

- Based on octrees
- Probabilistic, volumetric representation of occupancy including unknown
- Supports multi-resolution map queries
- Memory efficient
- Compact map files
- Open source implementation as C++ library available at http://octomap.sf.net

Probabilistic Map Update

 Occupancy modeled as recursive binary Bayes filter [Moravec '85]

$$Bel(m_t^{[xyz]}) = \left[1 + \frac{1 - P(m_t^{[xyz]} | z_t, u_{t-1})}{P(m_t^{[xyz]} | z_t, u_{t-1})} \cdot \frac{P(m_t^{[xyz]})}{1 - P(m_t^{[xyz]})} \frac{1 - Bel(m_{t-1}^{[xyz]})}{Bel(m_{t-1}^{[xyz]})}\right]^{-1}$$

Efficient update using log-odds notation

Probabilistic Map Update

- Clamping policy ensures updatability [Yguel '07] $Bel(m_t^{[xyz]}) \in [l_{min}, l_{max}]$
- Multi-resolution queries using

 $Bel(n) = \max_{i=1..8} Bel(n_i), n_i \in children(n)$



Lossless Map Compression

- Lossless pruning of nodes with identical children
- Can lead to high compression ratios





[Kraetzschmar '04]

Video: Office Building

Freiburg, building 079



Video: Large Outdoor Areas

Freiburg computer science campus

(292 x 167 x 28 m³, 20 cm resolution)



6D Localization with a Humanoid



Goal: Accurate pose tracking while walking and climbing stairs



Video: Humanoid Localization



Summary

- Different 3D map representations exist
- Octomap is currently a popular tool
- Main advantages: Full 3D model, probabilistic representation, inherently multi-resolution, memory efficient