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The Basis of Utility Theory

The utility function rates states and thus formalizes the desirability of a state through the agent.

$U(S)$ denotes the utility of state $S$ for the agent.

A nondeterministic action $A$ can lead to the outcome states $Result_i(A)$. How high is the probability that the outcome state $Result_i(A)$ is reached, if $A$ is executed in the current state with evidence $E$?

$$ \rightarrow P(\text{Result}_i(A) \mid \text{Do}(A),E) $$

Expected Utility:

$$ EU(A \mid E) = \Sigma_i P(\text{Result}_i(A) \mid \text{Do}(A),E) \times U(\text{Result}_i(A)) $$

The principle of maximum expected utility (MEU) says that a rational agent should choose an action that maximizes $EU(A \mid E)$. 
Problems with the MEU Principle

\[ P(\text{Result}_i(A) \mid \text{Do}(A), E) \]
requires a complete causal model of the world.
→ Constant updating of belief networks
→ NP-complete for Bayesian networks

\[ U(\text{Result}_i(A)) \]
requires search or planning, because an agent needs to know the possible future states in order to assess the worth of the current state ("effect of the state on the future").
The Axioms of Utility Theory (1)

Justification of the MEU principle i.e. maximization of the average utility.

Scenario = Lottery L
- Possible outcomes = possible prizes
- The outcome is determined by chance
- \( L = [p_1, C_1; p_2, C_2; ...; p_n, C_n] \)

Example:
Lottery L with two outcomes, \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \):

\[
L = [p, C_1; 1 - p, C_2]
\]

Preference between lotteries:

- \( L_1 \succ L_2 \) Agent \( L_1 \) is preferred to \( L_2 \)
- \( L_1 \sim L_2 \) The agent is indifferent between \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \)
- \( L_1 \preceq L_2 \) \( L_1 \) prefers or is indifferent to \( L_2 \)
The Axioms of Utility Theory (2)

Given states A, B, C

- **Orderability**
  \[(A \succ B) \lor (B \succ A) \lor (A \sim B)\]
  An agent should know what it wants: it must either prefer one of the 2 lotteries or be indifferent to both.

- **Transitivity**
  \[(A \succ B) \land (B \succ C) \Rightarrow (A \succ C)\]
  Violating transitivity causes irrational behaviour: \(A \succ B \succ C \succ A\). The agent has A and would pay to exchange it for C. C would do the same for A.
  \(\Rightarrow\) The agent loses money this way.
The Axioms of Utility Theory (3)

- **Continuity**
  \[ A \succ B \succ C \Rightarrow \exists p \ [p, A; 1 - p, C] \sim B \]
  If some state B is between A and C in preference, then there is some probability \( p \) for which the agent is indifferent between getting B for sure and the lottery that yields A with probability \( p \) and C with probability \( 1 - p \).

- **Substitutability**
  \[ A \sim B \Rightarrow [p, A; 1 - p, C] \sim [p, B; 1 - p, C] \]
  Simpler lotteries can be replaced by more complicated ones, without changing the indifference factor.
The Axioms of Utility Theory (4)

- **Monotonicity**
  \[ A > B \Rightarrow (p \geq q) \Leftrightarrow [p, A; 1 - p, B] \succeq [q, A; 1 - q, B] \]
  If an agent prefers the outcome A, then it must also prefer the lottery that has a higher probability for A.

- **Decomposability**
  \[ [p, A; 1 - p, [q, B; 1 - q, C]] \sim [p, A; (1 - p)q, B; (1 - p)(1 - q), C] \]
  An agent should not automatically prefer lotteries with more choice points ("no fun in gambling").
Utility Functions and Axioms

The axioms only make statements about preferences.
The existence of a utility function follows from the axioms!

1. Utility Principle
   If an agent’s preferences obey the axioms, then there exists a function \( U : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) with
   \[
   U(A) > U(B) \iff A \succ B
   \]
   \[
   U(A) = U(B) \iff A \sim B
   \]

2. Maximum Expected Utility Principle
   \[
   U([p_1, S_1; \ldots; p_n, S_n]) = \sum_i p_i \times U(S_i)
   \]

How do we design utility functions that cause the agent to act as desired?
Possible Utility Functions

From economic models:
Assessing Utilities

Scaling and normalizing:

- Best possible price $U(S) = u_{\text{max}} = 1$
- Worst catastrophe $U(S) = u_{\text{min}} = 0$

We obtain intermediate utilities of intermediate outcomes by asking the agent about its preference between a state $S$ and a standard lottery $[p, u_{\text{max}}; 1-p, u_{\text{min}}]$. The probability $p$ is adjusted until the agent is indifferent between $S$ and the standard lottery.

Assuming normalized utilities, the utility of $S$ is given by $p$. 
Sequential Decision Problems (1)

- Beginning in the start state the agent must choose an action at each time step.
- The interaction with the environment terminates if the agent reaches one of the goal states (4, 3) (reward of +1) or (4,2) (reward –1). Each other location has a reward of -.04.
- In each location the available actions are Up, Down, Left, Right.
Sequential Decision Problems (2)

- **Deterministic version**: All actions always lead to the next square in the selected direction, except that moving into a wall results in no change in position.

- **Stochastic version**: Each action achieves the intended effect with probability 0.8, but the rest of the time, the agent moves at right angles to the intended direction.
Markov Decision Problem (MDP)

Given a set of states in an accessible, stochastic environment, an MDP is defined by

- **Initial state** $S_0$
- **Transition Model** $T(s,a,s')$
- **Reward function** $R(s)$

**Transition model**: $T(s,a,s')$ is the probability that state $s'$ is reached, if action $a$ is executed in state $s$.

**Policy**: Complete mapping $\pi$ that specifies for each state $s$ which action $\pi(s)$ to take.

**Wanted**: The optimal policy $\pi^*$ that maximizes the expected utility.
Optimal Policies (1)

- Given the optimal policy, the agent uses its current percept that tells it its current state.
- It then executes the action \( \pi^*(s) \).
- We obtain a simple reflex agent that is computed from the information used for a utility-based agent.

Optimal policy for our MDP:
Optimal Policies (2)

How to compute optimal policies?
Finite and Infinite Horizon Problems

- Performance of the agent is measured by the sum of rewards for the states visited.
- To determine a optimal policy we will first calculate the utility of each state and then use the state utilities to select the optimal action for each state.
- The result depends on whether we have a finite or infinite horizon problem.
- Utility function for state sequences: $U_h([s_0,s_1,...,s_n])$
- Finite horizon: $U_h([s_0,s_1,...,s_{N+k}]) = U_h([s_0,s_1,...,s_N])$ for all $k > 0$.
- For finite horizon problems the optimal policy depends on the horizon $N$ and therefore is called nonstationary.
- In infinite horizon problems the optimal policy only depends on the current state and therefore is stationary.
Assigning Utilities to State Sequences

- For stationary systems there are just two ways to assign utilities to state sequences.
- Additive rewards:
  \[ U_h([s_0, s_1, s_2, ...]) = R(s_0) + R(s_1) + R(s_2) + ... \]
- Discounted rewards:
  \[ U_h([s_0, s_1, s_2, ...]) = R(s_0) + \gamma R(s_1) + \gamma^2 R(s_2) + ... \]
- The term \( \gamma \in [0:1] \) is called the discount factor.
- With discounted rewards the utility of an infinite state sequence is always finite.
Utilities of States

- The utility of a state depends on the utility of the state sequences that follow it.
- Let $U^\pi(s)$ be the utility of a state under policy $\pi$.
- Let $s_t$ be the state of the agent after executing $\pi$ for $t$ steps. Thus, the utility of $s$ under $\pi$ is

$$U^\pi(s) = E\left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t R(s_t) | \pi, s_0 = s \right]$$

- The true utility $U(s)$ of a state is $U^{\pi^*}(s)$.
- $R(s)$ is the short-term reward for being in $s$ and $U(s)$ is the long-term total reward from $s$ onwards.
Example

The utilities of the states in our 4x3 world with $\gamma=1$ and $R(s)=-0.04$ for non-terminal states:

```
+---+---+---+---+
|   |   |   |   |
| 3  | 0.812 | 0.868 | 0.918 | +1 |
| 2  | 0.762 | 0.660 |       | -1 |
| 1  | 0.705 | 0.655 | 0.611 | 0.388 |
| 1  | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4    |
+---+---+---+---+
```
Choosing Actions using the Maximum Expected Utility Principle

The agent simply chooses the action that maximizes the expected utility of the subsequent state:

$$\pi^*(s) = \arg \max_a \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') U(s')$$

The utility of a state is the immediate reward for that state plus the expected discounted utility of the next state, assuming that the agent chooses the optimal action:

$$U(s) = R(s) + \gamma \max_a \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') U(s')$$
The equation is also called the **Bellman-Equation**.

In our 4x3 world the equation for the state (1,1) is

\[
U(1,1) = -0.04 + \gamma \max \left\{ 0.8 U(1,2) + 0.1 U(2,1) + 0.1 U(1,1), \quad \text{(Up)} \\
0.9 U(1,1) + 0.1 U(1,2), \quad \text{(Left)} \\
0.9 U(1,1) + 0.1 U(2,1), \quad \text{(Down)} \\
0.8 U(2,1) + 0.1 U(1,2) + 0.1 U(1,1) \right\} \quad \text{(Right)}
\]

→ Given the numbers for the optimal policy, Up is the optimal action in (1,1).
MDP-Based Agent

function SIMPLE-POLICY-AGENT(percept) returns an action
static: $M$, a transition model
    $U$, a utility function on environment histories
    $P$, a policy, initially unknown

if $P$ is unknown then $P \leftarrow$ the optimal policy given $U, M$
return $P[percept]$

Example:

Utility of an action sequence

$\text{value of the terminal state} - \frac{\text{#Actions}}{25}$

With 6 actions to the terminal state with +1:

$1 - \frac{6}{25} = 0.76$
Value Iteration

- The Bellman equation is the basis of value iteration.
- Because of the max-Operator the n equations for the n states are nonlinear.
- We can apply an iterative approach in which we replace the equality by an assignment:

\[
U_{i+1}(s) \leftarrow R(s) + \gamma \max_a \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') U_i(s')
\]
The Value Iteration Algorithm

function VALUE-ITERATION(mdp, $\epsilon$) returns a utility function

inputs: mdp, an MDP with states $S$, transition model $T$, reward function $R$, discount $\gamma$

$\epsilon$, the maximum error allowed in the utility of any state

local variables: $U$, $U'$, vectors of utilities for states in $S$, initially zero

$\delta$, the maximum change in the utility of any state in an iteration

repeat

$U \leftarrow U'$; $\delta \leftarrow 0$

for each state $s$ in $S$ do

$U'[s] \leftarrow R[s] + \gamma \max_a \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') U[s']$

if $|U'[s] - U[s]| > \delta$ then $\delta \leftarrow |U'[s] - U[s]|$

until $\delta < \epsilon(1 - \gamma)/\gamma$

return $U$
Convergence of Value Iteration

- Since the algorithm is iterative we need a criterion to stop the process if we are close enough to the correct utility.
- In principle we want to limit the policy loss \( ||U^\pi_i - U|| \) that is the most the agent can lose by executing \( \pi_i \).
- It can be shown that value iteration converges and that

  \[
  \text{if } ||U_{i+1} - U|| < \varepsilon (1-\gamma)/\gamma \quad \text{then} \quad ||U_{i+1} - U|| < \varepsilon \\
  \text{if } ||U_i - U|| < \varepsilon \quad \text{then} \quad ||U^{\pi_i} - U|| < 2\varepsilon \gamma/(1-\gamma)
  \]

- The value iteration algorithm yields the optimal policy \( \pi^* \).
In practice the policy often becomes optimal before the utility has converged.
Policy Iteration

- Value iteration computes the optimal policy even at a stage when the utility function estimate has not yet converged.

- If one action is better than all others, then the exact values of the states involved need not to be known.

- Policy iteration alternates the following two steps beginning with an initial policy $p_0$:
  - **Policy evaluation**: given a policy $p_i$, calculate $U_i = U^{p_i}$, the utility of each state if $p_i$ were executed.
  - **Policy improvement**: calculate a new maximum expected utility policy $p_{i+1}$ according to

\[\pi^*(s) = \arg\max_a \sum_{s'} T(s,a,s') U(s')\]
The Policy Iteration Algorithm

function POLICY-ITERATION(mdp) returns a policy
  inputs: mdp, an MDP with states S, transition model T
  local variables: U, U', vectors of utilities for states in S, initially zero
                  π, a policy vector indexed by state, initially random

repeat
  U ← POLICY-EVALUATION(π, U, mdp)
  unchanged? ← true
  for each state s in S do
    if max_a ∑_{s'} T(s, a, s') U[s'] > ∑_{s'} T(s, π[s], s') U[s']
    then
      π[s] ← argmax_a ∑_{s'} T(s, a, s') U[s']
      unchanged? ← false
  until unchanged?
return π
Summary

- Rational agents can be developed on the basis of a probability theory and a utility theory.
- Agents that make decisions according to the axioms of utility theory possess a utility function.
- Sequential problems in uncertain environments (MDPs) can be solved by calculating a policy.
- Value iteration is a process for calculating optimal policies.