## Introduction to Mobile Robotics

## **Probabilistic Sensor Models**

Wolfram Burgard, Cyrill Stachniss, Maren Bennewitz, Diego Tipaldi, Luciano Spinello



## **Sensors for Mobile Robots**

- Contact sensors: Bumpers
- Internal sensors
  - Accelerometers (spring-mounted masses)
  - Gyroscopes (spinning mass, laser light)
  - Compasses, inclinometers (earth magnetic field, gravity)
- Proximity sensors
  - Sonar (time of flight)
  - Radar (phase and frequency)
  - Laser range-finders (triangulation, tof, phase)
  - Infrared (intensity)
- Visual sensors: Cameras
- Satellite-based sensors: GPS

## **Proximity Sensors**



- The central task is to determine P(z|x), i.e., the probability of a measurement z given that the robot is at position x.
- Question: Where do the probabilities come from?
- **Approach**: Let's try to explain a measurement.

#### **Beam-based Sensor Model**

Scan z consists of K measurements.

$$Z = \{Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_K\}$$

Individual measurements are independent given the robot position.

$$P(z \mid x, m) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} P(z_k \mid x, m)$$

#### **Beam-based Sensor Model**



$$P(z \mid x, m) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} P(z_k \mid x, m)$$

## **Typical Measurement Errors of an Range Measurements**



## **Proximity Measurement**

- Measurement can be caused by ...
  - a known obstacle.
  - cross-talk.
  - an unexpected obstacle (people, furniture, ...).
  - missing all obstacles (total reflection, glass, ...).
- Noise is due to uncertainty ...
  - in measuring distance to known obstacle.
  - in position of known obstacles.
  - in position of additional obstacles.
  - whether obstacle is missed.

#### **Beam-based Proximity Model**



## **Beam-based Proximity Model**



## **Resulting Mixture Density**



#### How can we determine the model parameters?

#### **Raw Sensor Data**

#### Measured distances for expected distance of 300 cm.



Sonar

Laser

## Approximation

Maximize log likelihood of the data

$$P(z \mid z_{exp})$$

- Search space of n-1 parameters.
  - Hill climbing
  - Gradient descent
  - Genetic algorithms
  - ...
- Deterministically compute the n-th parameter to satisfy normalization constraint.

## **Approximation Results**







Ζ

P(z|x,m)

#### **Discrete Model of Proximity Sensors**

 Instead of densities, consider discrete steps along the sensor beam.

 $P(d_i | l) = 1 - (1 - (1 - \sum_{i \in I} P_u(d_j)) c_d P_m(d_i | l))) \cdot (1 - (1 - \sum_{i \in I} P(d_j)) c_r)$ 

Consider dependencies between different cases.

## **Approximation Results**











## **Summary Beam-based Model**

- Assumes independence between beams.
  - Justification?
  - Overconfident!
- Models physical causes for measurements.
  - Mixture of densities for these causes.
  - Assumes independence between causes. Problem?
- Implementation
  - Learn parameters based on real data.
  - Different models should be learned for different angles at which the sensor beam hits the obstacle.
  - Determine expected distances by ray-tracing.
  - Expected distances can be pre-processed.

#### **Scan-based Model**

- Beam-based model is ...
  - not smooth for small obstacles and at edges.
  - not very efficient.

 Idea: Instead of following along the beam, just check the end point.

## **Scan-based Model**

- Probability is a mixture of ...
  - a Gaussian distribution with mean at distance to closest obstacle,
  - a uniform distribution for random measurements, and
  - a small uniform distribution for max range measurements.
- Again, independence between different components is assumed.



#### **San Jose Tech Museum**





#### Occupancy grid map

#### Likelihood field

## **Scan Matching**

 Extract likelihood field from scan and use it to match different scan.



## **Scan Matching**

 Extract likelihood field from first scan and use it to match second scan.



## **Properties of Scan-based Model**

- Highly efficient, uses 2D tables only.
- Smooth w.r.t. to small changes in robot position.
- Allows gradient descent, scan matching.
- Ignores physical properties of beams.
- Will it work for ultrasound sensors?

#### Additional Models of Proximity Sensors

- Map matching (sonar, laser): generate small, local maps from sensor data and match local maps against global model.
- Scan matching (laser): map is represented by scan endpoints, match scan into this map.
- Features (sonar, laser, vision): Extract features such as doors, hallways from sensor data.

## Landmarks

- Active beacons (*e.g.*, radio, GPS)
- Passive (e.g., visual, retro-reflective)
- Standard approach is triangulation
- Sensor provides
  - distance, or
  - bearing, or
  - distance and bearing.

## **Distance and Bearing**



## **Probabilistic Model**

1. Algorithm landmark\_detection\_model(z,x,m):  

$$z = \langle i, d, \alpha \rangle, x = \langle x, y, \theta \rangle$$
  
2.  $\hat{d} = \sqrt{(m_x(i) - x)^2 + (m_y(i) - y)^2}$   
3.  $\hat{\alpha} = \operatorname{atan2}(m_y(i) - y, m_x(i) - x) - \theta$   
4.  $p_{det} = \operatorname{prob}(\hat{d} - d, \varepsilon_d) \cdot \operatorname{prob}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha, \varepsilon_\alpha)$ 

5. Return  $p_{det}$ 

## **Distributions**











## Distances Only No Uncertainty





## **Bearings Only No Uncertainty**



Law of cosine  
$$D_1^2 = z_1^2 + z_2^2 - 2 z_1 z_2 \cos \alpha$$



$$D_1^2 = z_1^2 + z_2^2 - 2 z_1 z_2 \cos(\alpha)$$
  

$$D_2^2 = z_2^2 + z_3^2 - 2 z_1 z_2 \cos(\beta)$$
  

$$D_3^2 = z_1^2 + z_3^2 - 2 z_1 z_2 \cos(\alpha + \beta)$$

# **Bearings Only With Uncertainty**



Most approaches attempt to find estimation mean.

## **Summary of Sensor Models**

- Explicitly modeling uncertainty in sensing is key to robustness.
- In many cases, good models can be found by the following approach:
  - 1. Determine parametric model of noise free measurement.
  - 2. Analyze sources of noise.
  - **3.** Add adequate noise to parameters (eventually mix in densities for noise).
  - 4. Learn (and verify) parameters by fitting model to data.
  - 5. Likelihood of measurement is given by "probabilistically comparing" the actual with the expected measurement.
- This holds for motion models as well.
- It is extremely important to be aware of the underlying assumptions!