Deep Learning Lab Course 2018

Labs: (Computer Vision) Thomas Brox, (Robotics) Wolfram Burgard, (Machine Learning) Frank Hutter, (Neurorobotics) Joschka Boedecker

University of Freiburg



October 16, 2018

e e P machine learning lab

Technical Issues

- Location: Tuesday, 14:00 16:00, building 082, room 00 006 (Kinohoersaal)
- Remark: We will be there for questions every week from 14:00 16:00.
 - We expect you to work on your own. Your attendance is required during lectures/presentations
 - We expect you have basic knowledge in ML (e.g. heard the Machine Learning lecture).

Contact information (tutors):

Abhinav Valada valada@cs.uni-freiburg.de Maria Hügle hueglem@cs.uni-freiburg.de Aaron Klein kleinaa@cs.uni-freiburg.de Gabriel Leivas Oliveira oliveira@cs.uni-freiburg.de Tonmoy Saikia saikiat@cs.uni-freiburg.de Andreas Eitel eitel@cs.uni-freiburg.de

Homepage: http://dl-lab.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/

Schedule and outline



Phase 1

- **Today:** introduction deep learning (lecture).
- 16.10 30.10 Assignment 1
- 23.10: Q/A session
- ▶ 30.10: introduction convolutional neural networks (lecture), hand in Assignment 1
- 30.10 13.11: Assignment 2
- 06.11: Q/A session

Schedule and outline

Phase 1

- **Today:** introduction deep learning (lecture).
- 16.10 30.10 Assignment 1
- 23.10: Q/A session
- ▶ 30.10: introduction convolutional neural networks (lecture), hand in Assignment 1
- 30.10 13.11: Assignment 2
- 06.11: Q/A session
- Phase 2 (split into different tracks)
 - ▶ 13.11 18.12: lectures and exercises of the different tracks

e e machine learning lab

Schedule and outline

Phase 1

- **Today:** introduction deep learning (lecture).
- 16.10 30.10 Assignment 1
- ▶ 23.10: Q/A session
- ▶ 30.10: introduction convolutional neural networks (lecture), hand in Assignment 1
- 30.10 13.11: Assignment 2
- 06.11: Q/A session
- Phase 2 (split into different tracks)
 - ▶ 13.11 18.12: lectures and exercises of the different tracks
- Phase 3:
 - 08.01: start of the final projects
 - 15.01: Q/A session
 - 22.01: Q/A session
 - ▶ 29.01: Q/A session
 - 05.02: poster session

Tracks (tentative topics)



Track 1 Reinforcement Learning / Robotics

- Robot navigation
- Deep reinforcement learning

Tracks (tentative topics)



Track 1 Reinforcement Learning / Robotics

- Robot navigation
- Deep reinforcement learning

Track 2 AutoML / Computer Vision

- Image segmentation
- Autoencoders
- Generative adversarial networks
- Architecture search and hyperparameter optimization



for each exercise:

- solve coding exercise alone
- hand-in short 1-2 page report explaining your results, typically accompanied by 1-2 figures (e.g. learning curves / table with comparisons)
- hand in your code

Final Project



- ▶ We will provide a list of different projects but feel free to propose own ideas
- ▶ You will split up into small groups of 3 4 persons for the final project
- At the end we will organize a poster session where you have to present your results
- You need to register for the exams



Today...

- Lecture: Short recap on how MLPs (feed-forward neural networks) work and how to train them
- First assignment: implement a simple MLP in numpy and train it on MNIST dataset (more on this at the end)

What you need to do after today's class



- decide whether you want to take the course and which track you want to join
- if you are enrolled in HISinONE for different tracks, unregister from all tracks except the one you want to take
- start working on exercise 1



(Deep) Machine Learning Overview



- 1 Learn Model \mathbf{M} from the data
- 2 Let the model M infer unknown quantities from data



(Deep) Machine Learning Overview



Data	Sensory Information	Query
Labeled Images	An image	Is a cat in the image?
Transcribed Speech	A speech segment	What is this person saying?
Paraphrases	A pair of sentences	Is this sentence a paraphrase?
Movie Ratings	Ratings of Y and by X	Will a user X like a movie Y?
Parallel Corpora	A Finnish sentence	What is "moi" in English?

(Examples by Kyunghyun Cho)

Machine Learning Overview



What is the difference between deep learning and a standard machine learning pipeline ?

Standard Machine Learning Pipeline



- (1) Engineer good features (not learned)
- (2) Learn Model
- (3) Inference e.g. classes of unseen data



Unsupervised Feature Learning Pipeline

- (1a) Maybe engineer good features (not learned)
- (1b) Learn (deep) representation unsupervisedly
 - (2) Learn Model
 - (3) Inference e.g. classes of unseen data





Supervised Deep Learning Pipeline



(1) Jointly Learn everything with a deep architecture

(2) Inference e.g. classes of unseen data



Training supervised feed-forward neural networks



- Let's formalize!
- ► We are given:
 - Dataset $D = \{(\mathbf{x}^1, \mathbf{y}^1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}^N, \mathbf{y}^N)\}$
 - A neural network with parameters θ which implements a function $f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$
- We want to learn:
 - The parameters θ such that $\forall i \in [1,N]: f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^i) = \mathbf{y}^i$

Training supervised feed-forward neural networks

oo pachine learning lab

• A neural network with parameters θ which implements a function $f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$ $\rightarrow \theta$ is given by the network weights w and bias terms b



• Computing $f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$ for a neural network is a forward-pass

- unit *i* activation: $a_i = \sum_{j=i}^{N} w_{i,j} x_j + b_i$
- unit *i* output: $h_i^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) = t(a_i)$ where $t(\cdot)$ is an activation or transfer function







• Computing $f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$ for a neural network is a forward-pass

alternatively (and much faster) use vector notation:

- layer activation: $\mathbf{a}^{(1)} = \mathbf{W}^{(1)}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^{(1)}$
- ► layer **output**: $h^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) = t(\mathbf{a}^{(1)})$ where $t(\cdot)$ is applied element wise





• Computing $f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$ for a neural network is a forward-pass

Second layer

- ► layer 2 activation: $\mathbf{a}^{(2)} = \mathbf{W}^{(2)}h^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{b}^{(2)}$
- ► layer 2 output: $h^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) = t(\mathbf{a}^{(2)})$ where $t(\cdot)$ is applied element wise







• Computing $f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$ for a neural network is a forward-pass

Output layer

- output layer activation: $\mathbf{a}^{(3)} = \mathbf{W}^{(3)}h^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{b}^{(3)}$
- network output: f(x) = o(a⁽³⁾) where o(·) is the output nonlinearity
- ► for classification use softmax: $o_i(z) = \frac{e^{z_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{|z|} e^{z_j}}$





Training supervised feed-forward neural networks

- Neural network activation functions
- ► Typical nonlinearities for hidden layers are: $tanh(a_i)$, sigmoid $\sigma(a_i) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a_i}}$, ReLU $relu(a_i) = max(a_i, 0)$
- > tanh and sigmoid are both squashing non-linearities
- ReLU just thresholds at 0
- → Why not linear ?



Training supervised feed-forward neural networks



• Train parameters θ such that $\forall i \in [1, N] : f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^i) = \mathbf{y}^i$

Training supervised feed-forward neural networks

- \blacktriangleright Train parameters θ such that $\forall i \in [1,N]: f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^i) = \mathbf{y}^i$
- We can do this via minimizing the empirical risk on our dataset D

$$\min_{\theta} L(f_{\theta}, D) = \min_{\theta} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} l(f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{i}), \mathbf{y}^{i}),$$
(1)

where $l(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a per example loss



Training supervised feed-forward neural networks

- ▶ Train parameters θ such that $\forall i \in [1, N] : f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^i) = \mathbf{y}^i$
- We can do this via minimizing the empirical risk on our dataset D

$$\min_{\theta} L(f_{\theta}, D) = \min_{\theta} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} l(f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{i}), \mathbf{y}^{i}),$$
(1)

where $l(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a per example loss

For regression often use the squared loss:

$$l(f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{M} (f_{j,\theta}(\mathbf{x}) - y_j)^2$$

▶ For M-class classification use the negative log likelihood:

$$l(f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{j}^{M} -log(f_{j, \theta}(\mathbf{x})) \cdot y_{j}$$



▶ The simplest approach to minimizing $\min_{\theta} L(f_{\theta}, D)$ is gradient descent

Gradient descent: $\theta^{0} \leftarrow \text{init randomly}$ do $\bullet \quad \theta^{t+1} = \theta^{t} - \gamma \frac{\partial L(f_{\theta}, D)}{\partial \theta}$ while $(L(f_{\theta^{t+1}}, V) - L(f_{\theta^{t}}, V))^{2} > \epsilon$



▶ The simplest approach to minimizing $\min_{\theta} L(f_{\theta}, D)$ is gradient descent

Gradient descent: $\theta^0 \leftarrow \text{init randomly}$ do $\bullet \quad \theta^{t+1} = \theta^t - \gamma \frac{\partial L(f_\theta, D)}{\partial \theta}$ while $(L(f_{\theta^{t+1}}, V) - L(f_{\theta^t}, V))^2 > \epsilon$

- Where V is a validation dataset (why not use D ?)
- Remember in our case: $L(f_{\theta}, D) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} l(f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{i}), \mathbf{y}^{i})$
- We will get to computing the derivatives shortly



• Gradient descent example: $D = \{(x^1, y^1), \dots, (x^{100}, y^{100})\}$ with $x \sim \mathcal{U}[0, 1]$ $y = 3 \cdot x + \epsilon$ where $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 0.1)$



- Gradient descent example: $D = \{(x^1, y^1), \dots, (x^{100}, y^{100})\}$ with $x \sim \mathcal{U}[0, 1]$ $y = 3 \cdot x + \epsilon$ where $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 0.1)$
- Learn parameters θ of function $f_{\theta}(x) = \theta x$ using loss

$$l(f_{\theta}(x), y) = \frac{1}{2} \|f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{y}\|_{2}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} (f_{\theta}(x) - y)^{2}$$
$$\frac{\partial L(f_{\theta}, D)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial l(f_{\theta}, D)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\theta x - y) x$$



- Gradient descent example: $D = \{(x^1, y^1), \dots, (x^{100}, y^{100})\}$ with $x \sim \mathcal{U}[0, 1]$ $y = 3 \cdot x + \epsilon$ where $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 0.1)$
- Learn parameters θ of function $f_{\theta}(x) = \theta x$ using loss

$$l(f_{\theta}(x), y) = \frac{1}{2} ||f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{y}||_{2}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} (f_{\theta}(x) - y)^{2}$$
$$\frac{\partial L(f_{\theta}, D)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial l(f_{\theta}, D)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\theta x - y) x$$



Gradient descent

• Gradient descent example $\gamma = 2$.

gradient descent

Aaron Klein Uni FR

Stochastic Gradient descent (SGD)



- ▶ There are two problems with gradient descent:
 - 1. We have to find a good γ
 - 2. Computing the gradient is expensive if the training dataset is large!
- Problem 2 can be attacked with online optimization (we will have a look at this)
- Problem 1 remains but can be tackled via second order methods or other advanced optimization algorithms (rprop/rmsprop, adagrad)



1. We have to find a good γ ($\gamma = 2., \gamma = 5.$)

gradient descent 2

Aaron Klein Uni FR

Stochastic Gradient descent (SGD)

- 2 Computing the gradient is expensive if the training dataset is large!
- What if we would only evaluate f on parts of the data ?

Stochastic Gradient Descent: $\theta^{0} \leftarrow \text{init randomly}$ do $\bullet (\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}') \sim D$ sample example from dataset D $\bullet \theta^{t+1} = \theta^{t} - \gamma^{t} \frac{\partial l(f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}'), \mathbf{y}')}{\partial \theta}$ while $(L(f_{\theta^{t+1}}, V) - L(f_{\theta^{t}}, V))^{2} > \epsilon$ where $\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{t} \to \infty$ and $\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} (\gamma^{t})^{2} < \infty$ (γ should go to zero but not too fast)

Stochastic Gradient descent (SGD)

- 2 Computing the gradient is expensive if the training dataset is large!
- What if we would only evaluate f on parts of the data ?

Stochastic Gradient Descent: $\theta^0 \leftarrow \text{init randomly}$ do $(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{v}') \sim D$ sample example from dataset D $\bullet \ \theta^{t+1} = \theta^t - \gamma^t \frac{\partial l(f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}'), \mathbf{y}')}{\partial \theta}$ while $(\underset{\infty}{L}(f_{\theta^{t+1}},V)-L(f_{\theta^{t}},V))^{2} > \epsilon$ where $\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^t \to \infty$ and $\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} (\gamma^t)^2 < \infty$ (γ should go to zero but not too fast) \rightarrow SGD can speed up optimization for large datasets \rightarrow but can yield very noisy updates \rightarrow in practice mini-batches are used

- (compute $l(\cdot, \cdot)$ for several samples and average)
- $\rightarrow\,$ we still have to find a learning rate shedule γ^t

Stochastic Gradient descent (SGD)

 $\rightarrow\,$ Same data, assuming that gradient evaluation on all data takes 4 times as much time as evaluating a single datapoint

(gradient descent ($\gamma = 2$), stochastic gradient descent ($\gamma^t = 0.01 \frac{1}{t}$))



Aaron Klein Uni FR

Neural Network backward pass

 \rightarrow Now how do we compute the gradient for a network ?

Use the chain rule:

 $\frac{\partial f(g(x))}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f(g(x))}{\partial g(x)} \frac{\partial g(x)}{\partial x}$

- first compute loss on output layer
- $\begin{array}{l} \blacktriangleright \mbox{ then backpropagate to get} \\ \frac{\partial l(f(\mathbf{x}),\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{W}^{(3)}} \mbox{ and } \frac{\partial l(f(\mathbf{x}),\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(3)}} \end{array}$





Aaron Klein Uni FR

Neural Network backward pass

 \rightarrow Now how do we compute the gradient for a network ?

- ► gradient wrt. layer 3 weights: $\frac{\partial l(f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{W}^{(3)}} = \frac{\partial l(f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(3)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(3)}}{\mathbf{W}^{(3)}}$
- ▶ assuming *l* is NLL and softmax outputs, gradient wrt. layer 3 activation is: $\frac{\partial l(f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(3)}} = -(\mathbf{y} - f(x))$ y is one-hot encoded
- ► gradient of **a** wrt. $\mathbf{W}^{(3)}$: $\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(3)}}{\partial \mathbf{W}^{(3)}} = h^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})^T$



I(f(x), y)

$$\stackrel{\text{recall}}{\mathbf{a}^{(3)}} = \mathbf{W}^{(3)} h^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{b}^{(3)}$$





Neural Network backward pass

 \rightarrow Now how do we compute the gradient for a network ?

► gradient wrt. layer 3 weights:

$$\frac{\partial l(f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{W}^{(3)}} = \frac{\partial l(f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(3)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(3)}}{\mathbf{W}^{(3)}}$$

► assuming *l* is NLL and softmax outputs, gradient wrt. layer 3 activation is: $\frac{\partial l(f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(3)}} = -(\mathbf{y} - f(x))$

- ► gradient of **a** wrt. $\mathbf{W}^{(3)}$: $\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(3)}}{\partial \mathbf{W}^{(3)}} = h^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})^T$
- $\begin{array}{l} & \text{combined:} \\ & \frac{\partial l(f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{W}^{(3)}} = -(\mathbf{y} f(x))(h^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}))^T \end{array} \end{array}$



$$\stackrel{\rightarrow}{\mathbf{a}^{(3)}} = \mathbf{W}^{(3)} h^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{b}^{(3)}$$



Neural Network backward pass

 \rightarrow Now how do we compute the gradient for a network ?

- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad \mbox{gradient wrt. layer 3 weights:} \\ \frac{\partial l(f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{W}^{(3)}} = \frac{\partial l(f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(3)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(3)}}{\mathbf{W}^{(3)}} \end{array}$
- ► assuming *l* is NLL and softmax outputs, gradient wrt. layer 3 activation is: $\frac{\partial l(f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(3)}} = -(\mathbf{y} - f(x))$
- ► gradient of **a** wrt. $\mathbf{W}^{(3)}$: $\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(3)}}{\partial \mathbf{W}^{(3)}} = (h^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}))^T$
- gradient wrt. previous layer:

$$\frac{\partial l(f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})}{\partial h^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{\partial l(f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(3)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(3)}}{\partial h^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})}$$
$$= \left(\mathbf{W}^{(3)}\right)^T \frac{\partial l(f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(3)}}$$



 $\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \mbox{ recall } \\ \mathbf{a}^{(3)} = \mathbf{W}^{(3)} h^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{b}^{(3)} \end{array}$



Aaron Klein Uni FR

Neural Network backward pass

 \rightarrow Now how do we compute the gradient for a network ?

- ▶ gradient wrt. layer 2 weights: $\frac{\partial l(f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{W}^{(2)}} = \frac{\partial l(f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})}{\partial h^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})} \frac{\partial h^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(2)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(2)}}{\mathbf{W}^{(2)}}$
- ► same schema as before just have to consider computing derivative of activation function $\frac{\partial h^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(2)}}$, e.g. for sigmoid $\sigma(\cdot)$ $\frac{\partial h^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(2)}} = \sigma(a_i)(1 - a_i)$
- and backprop even further







Gradient Checking

- $\rightarrow\,$ Backward-pass is just repeated application of the chain rule
- $\rightarrow\,$ However, there is a huge potential for bugs \ldots
- \rightarrow Gradient checking to the rescue (simply check code via finite-differences):

 $\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{Gradient Checking:} \\ \theta &= (\mathbf{W}^{(1)}, \mathbf{b}^{(1)}, \dots) \text{ init randomly} \\ & \mathsf{x} \leftarrow \text{ init randomly ; } \mathbf{y} \leftarrow \text{ init randomly} \\ & g_{\mathsf{analytic}} \leftarrow \text{ compute gradient } \frac{\partial l(f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})}{\partial \theta} \text{ via backprop} \\ & \mathsf{for i in } \# \theta \\ & \bullet \ \hat{\theta} &= \theta \\ & \bullet \ \hat{\theta}_i &= \hat{\theta}_i + \epsilon \\ & \bullet \ g_{\mathsf{numeric}} &= \frac{l(f_{\hat{\theta}}(x), \mathbf{y}) - l(f_{\theta}(x), \mathbf{y})}{\epsilon} \\ & \bullet \ \mathsf{assert}(||g_{\mathsf{numeric}} - g_{\mathsf{analytic}}|| < \epsilon) \end{aligned}$

- can also be used to test partial implementations (i.e. layers, activation functions)
 - $\rightarrow\,$ simply remove loss computation and backprop ones

Overfitting

• If you train the parameters of a large network $\theta = (\mathbf{W}^{(1)}, \mathbf{b}^{(1)}, \dots)$ you will see overfitting!

 $\rightarrow L(f_{\theta}(x), D) \ll L(f_{\theta}(x), V)$

This can be at least partly conquered with regularization



Overfitting

• If you train the parameters of a large network $\theta = (\mathbf{W}^{(1)}, \mathbf{b}^{(1)}, \dots)$ you will see overfitting!

 $\rightarrow L(f_{\theta}(x), D) \ll L(f_{\theta}(x), V)$

- This can be at least partly conquered with regularization
 - weight decay: change cost (and gradient)

$$L(f_{\theta}, D) = \frac{1}{N} \min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} l(f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{i}), \mathbf{y}^{i}) + \frac{1}{\#\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{\#\theta} \|\theta_{i}\|^{2}$$

ightarrow enforces small weights (occams razor)

oo pachine learning lab

Overfitting

- If you train the parameters of a large network $\theta = (\mathbf{W}^{(1)}, \mathbf{b}^{(1)}, \dots)$ you will see overfitting!
 - $\rightarrow L(f_{\theta}(x), D) \ll L(f_{\theta}(x), V)$
- This can be at least partly conquered with regularization
 - weight decay: change cost (and gradient)

$$L(f_{\theta}, D) = \frac{1}{N} \min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} l(f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{i}), \mathbf{y}^{i}) + \frac{1}{\#\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{\#\theta} \|\theta_{i}\|^{2}$$

ightarrow enforces small weights (occams razor)

► dropout: kill ≈ 50% of the activations in each hidden layer during training forward pass. Multiply hidden activations by ¹/₂ during testing → prevents co-adaptation / enforces robustness to noise

oo machine learning lab

Overfitting

• If you train the parameters of a large network $\theta = (\mathbf{W}^{(1)}, \mathbf{b}^{(1)}, \dots)$ you will see overfitting!

 $\rightarrow L(f_{\theta}(x), D) \ll L(f_{\theta}(x), V)$

- This can be at least partly conquered with regularization
 - weight decay: change cost (and gradient)

$$L(f_{\theta}, D) = \frac{1}{N} \min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} l(f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{i}), \mathbf{y}^{i}) + \frac{1}{\#\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{\#\theta} \|\theta_{i}\|^{2}$$

ightarrow enforces small weights (occams razor)

- dropout: kill $\approx 50\%$ of the activations in each hidden layer during training forward pass. Multiply hidden activations by $\frac{1}{2}$ during testing
 - \rightarrow prevents co-adaptation / enforces robustness to noise
- Many, many more !



Assignment

- Implementation: Implement a simple feed-forward neural network by completing the provided *stub* this includes:
 - possibility to use 2-4 layers
 - sigmoid/tanh and ReLU for the hidden layer
 - softmax output layer
 - optimization via gradient descent (gd)
 - optimization via stochastic gradient descent (sgd)
 - weight initialization with random noise (!!!) (use normal distribution with changing std. deviation for now)
- Bonus points for testing some advanced ideas:
 - implement dropout, weight decay
 - implement a different optimizer (rprop, rmsprop, adagrad)
- Code stub: https://github.com/aisrobots/dl-lab-2018
- Evaluation:
 - Find good parameters (learning rate, number of iterations etc.) using a validation set (usually take the last 10k examples from the training set)
 - > After optimizing parameters run on the full dataset and test once on the test-set (you should be able to reach $\approx 1.6-1.8\%$ error)
- Submission: Clone our github repo and send us the link to your github/bitbucket repo including your solution code and the report as a pdf-file. Email to kleinaa@cs.uni-freiburg.de with subject: dl-lab-course 18

Slide Information



Thanks to Tobias Springenberg who generated most of these slides for the DL Lab Course WS 2016/2017.